Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare



SUPPLEMENT TO BRIEF No. 25

Outcomes of Children Receiving Mental Health Services from Washburn Center for Children

Table A. Emotional Sub-scale by Program Type and Completion

otional Sub-scale										
	N	First SDQ	Last SDQ	High SDQ	∆ Last First	SD	∆ Last High ^d	SD	LF Effect size ^{a,c}	LH Effect size ^{b,c}
All	1338	4.5	3.8	5.6	-0.7*	2.5	-1.8*	1.9	0.28	0.95
Outpatient	495	4.1	3.3	5.0	-0.8*	2.4	-1.8*	1.9	0.33	0.95
School Based	392	4.3	3.7	5.7	-0.6*	2.5	-2.0*	1.9	0.24	1.05
Intensive Programs	451	5.1	4.4	6.1	-0.7*	2.4	-1.7*	1.8	0.29	0.94
All Completers	885	4.4	3.5	5.4	-1.0*	2.4	-2.0*	1.9	0.42	1.05
Outpatient	304	3.8	2.8	4.7	-1.0*	2.4	-1.9*	2.0	0.42	0.95
School Based	208	4.2	3.1	5.5	-1.2*	2.5	-2.4*	2.0	0.48	1.20
Intensive Programs	373	5.1	4.2	6.0	-0.8*	2.4	-1.8*	1.8	0.33	1.00
All Non-Completers	453	4.5	4.3	5.8	-0.3***	2.4	-1.5*	1.7	0.13	0.88
Outpatient	191	4.5	4.0	5.6	-0.5**	2.4	-1.5*	1.7	0.21	0.88
School Based	184	4.3	4.2	5.9	0.0	2.4	-1.6*	1.7	0.00	0.94
Intensive Programs	78	5.2	4.9	6.3	-0.2	2.7	-1.4*	1.6	0.67	0.88

^{*}p<.001 **p<.01 ***p<.05

Table B. Conduct Sub-scale by Program Type and Completion

	N	First SDQ	Last SDQ	High SDQ	∆ Last First	SD	∆ Last High ^d	SD	LF Effect size ^{a,c}	LH Effect size ^{b,c}
All	1338	4.2	3.3	5.1	-0.9*	2.1	-1.8*	1.8	0.43	1.00
Outpatient	495	3.6	2.7	4.4	-0.9*	2.1	-1.6*	1.7	0.43	0.94
School Based	392	3.6	2.9	4.8	-0.7*	2.0	-1.8*	1.8	0.35	1.00
Intensive Programs	451	5.4	4.3	6.2	-1.0*	2.2	-1.9*	1.9	0.45	1.00
All Completers	885	4.1	3.1	5.0	-1.0*	2.1	-1.9*	1.8	0.48	1.06
Outpatient	304	3.3	2.3	4.0	-1.0*	2.1	-1.7*	1.8	0.48	0.94
School Based	208	3.2	2.4	4.3	-0.9*	2.0	-1.9*	1.9	0.45	1.00
Intensive Programs	373	5.4	4.2	6.2	-1.1*	2.3	-2.0*	1.9	0.48	1.05
All Non-Completers	453	4.3	3.7	5.3	-0.6	2.0	-1.6*	1.6	0.30	1.00
Outpatient	191	4.1	3.4	4.9	-0.7*	2.0	-1.5*	1.5	0.35	1.00
School Based	184	4.1	3.6	5.2	-0.5**	2.0	-1.7*	1.6	0.25	1.06
Intensive Programs	78	5.5	4.8	6.3	-0.6**	2.1	-1.5*	1.7	0.29	0.88

^{*}p<.001 **p<.01 ***p<.05

The Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare (CASCW) is a resource for child welfare professionals, students, faculty, policy-makers, and other key stakeholders concerned about child welfare in Minnesota.

Minn-LInK is a unique collaborative, university-based research environment with the express purpose of studying child and family well-being in Minnesota using state administrative data from multiple agencies. For more information, contact Kristine Piescher at 612-625-8169 or email at kpiesche@umn.edu

^aLF Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – First SDQ score

^bLH Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – High SDQ score

^cEffect size ranges: d=Up to 0.2 - 0.3 = Small effect; d=Around 0.5 = Medium effect: d=0.8 – infinity = Large effect

Both first to last score and high to last score were examined to determine if SDQ score peaked post-intake; one limitation of this method is that high score and first score may have been the same

^aLF Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – First SDQ score

bLH Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score - High SDQ score

^cEffect size ranges: d=Up to 0.2 - 0.3 = Small effect; d=Around 0.5 = Medium effect: d=0.8 – infinity = Large effect

Both first to last score and high to last score were examined to determine if SDQ score peaked post-intake; one limitation of this method is that high score and first score may have been the same.

Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare



SUPPLEMENT TO BRIEF No. 25

Outcomes of Children Receiving Mental Health Services from Washburn Center for Children

Table C. Inattention/Hyperactivity Sub-scale by Program Type and Completion

	N	First SDQ	Last SDQ	High SDQ	∆ Last First	SD	∆ Last High ^d	SD	LF Effect size ^{a,c}	LH Effect size ^{b,c}
All	1338	6.2	5.5	7.2	-0.7*	2.4	-1.7*	1.9	0.29	0.89
Outpatient	495	5.7	5.0	6.7	-0.8*	2.3	-1.7*	1.9	0.35	0.89
School Based	392	5.6	5.1	7.0	-0.5*	2.5	-1.9*	1.9	0.20	1.00
Intensive Programs	451	7.3	6.4	8.1	-0.8*	2.3	-1.6*	1.8	0.35	0.89
All Completers	885	6.1	5.2	7.1	-0.9*	2.4	-1.9*	1.9	0.38	1.00
Outpatient	304	5.2	4.4	6.2	-0.9*	2.4	-1.8*	2.0	0.38	0.90
School Based	208	5.3	4.5	6.7	-0.8*	2.5	-2.2*	2.0	0.32	1.10
Intensive Programs	373	7.3	6.4	8.1	-0.9*	2.3	-1.8*	1.8	0.39	1.00
All Non-Completers	453	6.3	6.0	7.4	-0.3**	2.3	-1.5*	1.7	0.13	0.88
Outpatient	191	6.5	5.9	7.5	-0.6*	2.1	-1.5*	1.6	0.29	0.94
School Based	184	5.9	5.6	7.3	-0.2	2.5	-1.6*	1.8	0.08	0.89
Intensive Programs	78	6.8	6.8	7.8	0.0	2.2	-1.0*	1.3	0.00	0.77

^{*}p<.001 **p<.01 ***p<.05

Table D. Peer Sub-scale by Program Type and Completion

	N	First SDQ	Last SDQ	High SDQ	∆ Last First	SD	∆ Last High ^d	SD	LF Effect size ^{a,c}	LH Effect size ^{b,c}
All	1338	3.3	2.9	4.2	-0.4*	1.9	-1.4*	1.5	0.21	0.93
Outpatient	495	2.8	2.5	3.7	-0.3**	1.8	-1.2*	1.4	0.17	0.86
School Based	392	3.2	2.6	4.2	-0.6*	2.0	-1.6*	1.6	0.30	1.00
Intensive Programs	451	3.9	3.4	4.9	-0.5-	1.9	-1.4*	1.5	0.26	0.93
All Completers	885	3.3	2.7	4.2	-0.6*	1.9	-1.5*	1.6	0.32	0.94
Outpatient	304	2.5	2.2	3.4	-0.3***	1.9	-1.2*	1.5	0.16	0.80
School Based	208	3.1	2.2	4.0	-0.9*	1.9	-1.8*	1.7	0.47	1.06
Intensive Programs	373	4.0	3.4	4.8	-0.6*	1.9	-1.4*	1.5	0.32	0.93
All Non-Completers	453	3.4	3.2	4.4	-0.2**	1.9	-1.3	1.4	0.11	0.93
Outpatient	191	3.2	3.0	4.1	-0.2	1.7	-1.1*	1.3	0.12	0.85
School Based	184	3.3	3.0	4.5	-0.2	2.0	-1.4*	1.5	0.10	0.93
Intensive Programs	78	4.0	3.8	5.1	-0.2	1.9	-1.3*	1.4	0.11	0.93

^{*}p<.001 **p<.01 ***p<.05

The Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare (CASCW) is a resource for child welfare professionals, students, faculty, policy-makers, and other key stakeholders concerned about child welfare in Minnesota.

Minn-LInK is a unique collaborative, university-based research environment with the express purpose of studying child and family well-being in Minnesota using state administrative data from multiple agencies. For more information, contact Kristine Piescher at 612-625-8169 or email at kpiesche@umn.edu

^aLF Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – First SDQ score

^bLH Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – High SDQ score

^cEffect size ranges: d=Up to 0.2 - 0.3 = Small effect; d=Around 0.5 = Medium effect: d=0.8 – infinity = Large effect

[&]quot;Both first to last score and high to last score were examined to determine if SDQ score peaked post-intake; one limitation of this method is that high score and first score may have been the same.

^aLF Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – First SDQ score

bLH Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score - High SDQ score

^cEffect size ranges: d=Up to 0.2 - 0.3 = Small effect; d=Around 0.5 = Medium effect: d=0.8 – infinity = Large effect

[&]quot;Both first to last score and high to last score were examined to determine if SDQ score peaked post-intake; one limitation of this method is that high score and first score may have been the same.

Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare



SUPPLEMENT TO BRIEF No. 25

Outcomes of Children Receiving Mental Health Services from Washburn Center for Children

Table E. Pro-social Sub-scale by Program Type and Completion

o-social Sub-scale										
	N	First SDQ	Last SDQ	Low SDQ	∆ Last First	SD	Δ Last Low $^{ extsf{d}}$	SD	LF Effect size ^{a,c}	LH Effect size ^{b,c}
All	1338	6.8	7.1	5.8	0.3*	1.9	1.3*	1.5	0.16	0.87
Outpatient	495	7.0	7.3	8.2	0.3**	1.9	-0.9*	1.3	0.16	0.69
School Based	392	7.3	7.6	6.2	0.3**	1.7	1.4*	1.5	0.18	0.93
Intensive Programs	451	6.2	6.5	5.2	0.4*	1.9	1.3*	1.5	0.21	0.87
All Completers	885	6.9	7.3	5.9	0.4*	1.9	1.4*	1.5	0.21	0.93
Outpatient	304	7.3	7.7	6.4	-0.3**	1.8	1.2*	1.5	0.17	0.80
School Based	208	7.5	7.9	6.4	0.4**	1.8	1.6*	1.5	0.22	1.07
Intensive Programs	373	6.2	6.6	5.3	0.4*	1.9	1.4*	1.5	0.21	0.93
All Non-Completers	453	6.7	6.8	5.7	0.1	1.9	1.1*	1.4	0.05	0.79
Outpatient	191	6.6	6.8	5.6	0.2	2.1	1.2*	1.4	0.10	0.86
School Based	184	7.2	7.2	6.1	0.1	1.6	1.1*	1.4	0.06	0.79
Intensive Programs	78	6.0	6.0	4.9	0.0	2.2	1.1*	1.6	0.00	0.69

^{*}p<.001 **p<.01 ***p<.05

Table F. Impact Sub-scale by Program Type and Completion

	N	First SDQ	Last SDQ	High SDQ	∆ Last First	SD	∆ Last High ^d	SD	LF Effect size ^{a,c}	LH Effect size ^{b,c}
All	1338	4.7	3.3	5.9	-1.4*	3.0	-2.5*	2.6	0.47	0.96
Outpatient	495	4.1	2.8	5.2	-1.3*	3.0	-2.3*	2.5	0.43	0.92
School Based	392	4.2	2.8	5.4	-1.4*	3.2	-2.7*	2.7	0.44	1.00
Intensive Programs	451	5.8	4.4	7.0	-1.4*	2.9	-2.6*	2.6	0.48	1.00
All Completers	885	4.6	2.9	5.7	-1.7*	3.0	-2.8*	2.7	0.57	1.04
Outpatient	304	3.6	2.1	4.5	-1.5*	3.0	-2.4	2.5	0.50	0.96
School Based	208	4.1	2.0	5.2	-2.2*	3.1	-3.3*	2.9	0.71	1.14
Intensive Programs	373	5.8	4.1	6.9	-1.7*	3.0	-2.8*	2.6	0.57	1.08
All Non-Completers	453	4.8	4.2	6.3	-0.7*	2.9	-2.1*	2.4	0.24	0.88
Outpatient	191	4.9	4.0	6.2	-1.0*	2.9	-2.2*	2.4	0.34	0.92
School Based	184	4.3	3.8	5.9	-0.5***	3.1	-2.2*	2.3	0.16	0.96
Intensive Programs	78	5.9	5.7	7.3	-0.2	2.3	-1.6*	2.2	0.09	0.73

^{*}p<.001 **p<.01 ***p<.05

The Center for Advanced Studies in Child Welfare (CASCW) is a resource for child welfare professionals, students, faculty, policy-makers, and other key stakeholders concerned about child welfare in Minnesota.

Minn-LInK is a unique collaborative, university-based research environment with the express purpose of studying child and family well-being in Minnesota using state administrative data from multiple agencies. For more information, contact Kristine Piescher at 612-625-8169 or email at kpiesche@umn.edu

^aLF Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score - First SDQ score

^bLH Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – High SDQ score

^cEffect size ranges: d=Up to 0.2 - 0.3 = Small effect; d=Around 0.5 = Medium effect: d=0.8 – infinity = Large effect

[&]quot;Both first to last score and high to last score were examined to determine if SDQ score peaked post-intake; one limitation of this method is that high score and first score may have been the same.

^aLF Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – First SDQ score

^bLH Effect size is the effect size of the Last SDQ score – High SDQ score

^cEffect size ranges: d=Up to 0.2 - 0.3 = Small effect; d=Around 0.5 = Medium effect: d=0.8 – infinity = Large effect

Both first to last score and high to last score were examined to determine if SDQ score peaked post-intake; one limitation of this method is that high score and first score may have been the same.